

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/719,988	11/20/2003	Larry C. Mattheakis	CYTOP135X1	CYTOP135X1 1753		
22434 75	590 07/03/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BEYER WEA	VER & THOMAS, LLP	SRIVASTAVA	SRIVASTAVA, KAILASH C			
P.O. BOX 7025	50 CA 94612-0250	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
OARLAND, C	A 94012-0230		1655			
			DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/719,9	988	MATTHEAKIS ET AL.				
		Examine	er	Art Unit				
		Dr. Kaila	sh C. Srivastava	1655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status			,					
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 03 October 20	05.					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers			•				
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)∏ accepted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)ı	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachme -	tie)		•					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date	TO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	l Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The assigned Art Unit location of your application in the United States Patent and Trademark Office (i.e., USPTO) is 1655. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1655.
- The assigned Examiner to your application in the USPTO is Dr. Kailash C. Srivastava. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Examiner Kailash C. Srivastava in Art Unit 1655.

Claims Status

3. Claims 1-19 are pending.

Election / Restriction

- 4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §121:
 - Group I, consisting of claim 1-7 and 10-11, drawn to a method to assess the hepatotoxicity of a stimulus, classified under Class 435, subclass 325, for example.
 - Group II, consisting of claims 8-9, drawn to a method to determine hepatotoxicity, wherein hepatocytes are immobilized, classified under Class 435 subclass 40.5, for example.
 - Group III, consisting of Clais12-15, drawn to a method to identify necrotic hepatotoxic pathology through esterase activity and cell-membrane permeability, classified under Class 435, subclass 19, for example.
 - Group IV, consisting of claims 16-19, drawn to a method to identify apoptotic hepatotoxic pathology, classified under Class 435, subclass 370, for example.

Inventions are Independent Or Distinct

5. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions in Groups I-IV each are unrelated to each other because they are directed to different inventions that are not connected in design, operation and/or effect. These inventions are independent since they are not disclosed as capable of use together. They have different modes of operation, they have different functions, and/or they have different effects. One would not have to practice the various

methods at the same time to practice just one method alone (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, for example invention recited in claims encompassed in Group I are directed to a method to identify hepatotoxicity via image analysis of cultured hepatocytes; whereas invention in Group IV is to identify "apoptotic pathology via assaying caspase-3 activity. Thus, the steps to carry out each of the inventions encompassed in Groups I-IV respectively are different. Therefore, those methods may not be practiced together.

The inventions discussed above are independent and distinct, each from the other. They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches. The search for each one of the above inventions is not coextensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Because a search for each one of the Groups I-IV invention requires a different search strategy given different steps and components for each one of the Group I-IV inventions delineated *supra*. Further, a reference that would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification (class and subclass), and their recognized diverse subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR §1.143).
- 7. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR §1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR §1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR §1.17(I).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Kailash C. Srivastava whose telephone number is (571) 272-0923. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. (Eastern Standard or Daylight Savings Time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Terry McKelvey, can be reached on (571)-272-0775 Monday through Friday 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1655

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (i.e., PAIR) system. Status information for the published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (i.e., EBC) at: (866)-217-9197 (toll-free). Alternatively, status in gairies should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Kailash (). Srivastava, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit 1655 (571) 272-0923

June 26, 2006

CHRISTOPHER R. TATE PRIMARY EXAMINER